GAS Journal of Clinical Medicine and Medical Research (GASJCMMR)



Volume 2, Issue 4, 2025

Journal Homepage: <u>https://gaspublishers.com/gasjcmmr/</u> Email: <u>gaspublishers@gmail.com</u> ISSN: 3049-1568

Empirical Analysis on the Diverse Consequences of Drug Use and Addiction

Emekwisia, Esther U.^{1*}, Owusu, Gideon P.², Odubunmi, Oluwapelumi I.³, Foster-Pagaebi, Evelyn⁴, Enem, Chinenye H.⁵, Ezennubia, Kelechi P.⁶, Nmezi, Chinonso T.⁷, Donkor, Edward⁸, Akagu, Emmanuel E.⁹, Sodiq, Toheeb A.¹⁰, Otoh, Oyovwi P.¹¹, Obialo, Victor U.¹²

¹Department of Nursing, Synapse Center for Psychological Medicine, Awka, Nigeria.
²Thunderbird School of Global Management, Arizona State University, USA.
³School of Public Health, University of Nevada, Reno, USA.
⁴Department of Public-Private Partnership, JSI Research and Training Institute, Yenagoa, Nigeria.
⁵Department of Nursing, University of Sunderland, England, Great Britain.
⁶Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Baylor University, Texas, USA.
⁷Department of Medicine and Surgery, Enugu State University of Science and Technology, Enugu, Nigeria.
⁸Department of Urban and Regional Planning, Federal University of Technology, Minna, Nigeria.
¹⁰Department of Pharmacology, Sa'adu Zungur University Gadau, Nigeria.
¹¹Department of Internal Medicine, Federal Medical Centre, Abuja, Nigeria.
¹²Department of Biochemistry, University of Calabar, Calabar, Nigeria.

Received: 19.05.2025 | Accepted: 23.05.2025 | Published: 01.06.2025

*Corresponding Author: Emekwisia, Esther U.

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.15567682

Abstract

Original Research Article

Drug use and addiction present multifaceted challenges with far-reaching consequences on individuals and society. This study investigates the socio-economic, psychological, and physiological impacts of drug use and addiction. The aim of this research is to empirically analyze the diverse consequences of drug use and addiction through structured data collection and statistical evaluation. A cross-sectional study was conducted using a structured questionnaire distributed among 500 participants (aged 18–60) across urban and semi-urban regions. Data were analyzed using SPSS v26 with descriptive statistics and multivariate regression. Key findings include: 68% of respondents reported significant financial strain (mean monthly expenditure: \$350), 47% suffered job loss due to drug use, and 73% indicated deteriorating mental health measured via the GHQ-28 scale (mean score: 25.7). Physiological impacts were marked by increased incidence of liver dysfunction in 41% of habitual users. Applications of this research span public health policy, rehabilitation program design, and educational interventions targeting drug prevention and recovery.

Keywords: Drug Use, Addiction, Socio-Economic Impact, Psychological Effects, Physiological Effects, Public Health Policy, Rehabilitation Programs.

Citation: Emekwisia, E. U., Owusu, G. P., Odubunmi, O. I., Foster-Pagaebi, E., Enem, C. H., Ezennubia, K. P., Nmezi, C. T., Donkor, E., Akagu, E. E., Sodiq, T. A., Otoh, O. P., & Obialo, V. U. (2025). Empirical analysis on the diverse consequences of drug use and addiction. *GAS Journal of Clinical Medicine and Medical Research*, *2*(4), 147-151, ISSN: 3049-1568.

1. INTRODUCTION

Drug use and addiction remain significant global issues, affecting health, productivity, and societal stability. The United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC, 2021) reports that over 275 million people used drugs worldwide in the previous year, with a substantial increase in addictionrelated complications. These complications manifest in various domains including mental health, physical well-being, economic productivity, and social harmony (Smith, 2019). Psychologically, addiction is linked to a range of mental disorders, including depression, anxiety, and psychosis (Brown & Schuckit, 2016). Physiologically, chronic drug use can result in organ damage, neurological impairment, and increased risk of communicable diseases (Johnson, 2020). Economically, drug dependency often leads to job loss, reduced income, and

©GAS Journal of Clinical Medicine and Medical Research (GASJCMMR) Published by GAS Publishers

increased healthcare expenditure (Taylor, 2017). Drug addiction does not only impact the individual but also extends to families and communities. Children in drug-affected households often suffer neglect and abuse, leading to transgenerational trauma (Williams, 2018). Additionally, criminal behavior is often associated with drug procurement and consumption (Lopez, 2020). Studies have also indicated a correlation between early exposure to drug use and increased risk of addiction in adulthood (Green et al., 2015). Peer pressure, socioeconomic conditions, and lack of access to education are critical predisposing factors (Martinez, 2019). In terms of public health, the burden of treating addiction-related diseases is substantial. According to Carter (2021), governments spend billions annually on healthcare and criminal justice systems related to drug abuse. Furthermore, the stigma surrounding addiction often hinders recovery efforts and the reintegration of recovering individuals into society (Chen & Lin, 2022). Technological advances have enabled better monitoring of drug use patterns through biometric tracking and data analytics, providing new avenues for intervention (Kim et al., 2018). However, a comprehensive understanding of the consequences of drug use remains essential for targeted policy implementation (Nguyen & Parker, 2020). Given the complexity of addiction and its impacts, this study aims to provide empirical data to support strategic interventions. Previous works have focused on singular aspects of addiction (e.g., psychological or economic), but this research takes a holistic approach (Anderson & Moore, 2017). The diversity in consequences demands a multi-sectoral approach involving healthcare, education, and legal frameworks. Hence, a wellrounded empirical analysis is not just relevant but necessary (O'Donnell, 2021). This paper contributes to existing literature by integrating diverse outcome metrics and presenting them in a unified analytical framework (Peterson, 2019).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This research employed a quantitative cross-sectional design to collect and analyze data regarding the consequences of drug use and addiction. Ethical approval was secured from the Regional Health Research Ethics Committee (Approval ID: RHREC/2024/112).

Study Population and Sampling The population included individuals aged 18 to 60 from urban and semi-urban communities with known rates of drug use. A sample size of 500 was determined using Cochran's formula for population-based surveys. Stratified random sampling ensured demographic diversity across age, gender, and socio-economic status.

Instrumentation A structured questionnaire was developed based on validated tools such as the General Health Questionnaire (GHQ-28), the Drug Abuse Screening Test (DAST-20), and WHO's ASSIST instrument. It was divided into four sections:

- 1. Demographics
- 2. Psychological and physiological impacts
- 3. Socio-economic consequences.
- 4. Perceived effectiveness of rehabilitation programs

Data Collection Data were collected over a 3-month period (January to March 2025) by trained field assistants. Face-to-face interviews ensured high response quality and clarity. Informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Data Analysis Responses were coded and analyzed using SPSS v26. Descriptive statistics (means, frequencies, and percentages) were used to summarize the data. Multivariate regression was applied to determine predictive factors of adverse outcomes.

Validity and Reliability The questionnaire was pre-tested on a pilot sample of 50 respondents, yielding a Cronbach's alpha of 0.89, indicating high internal consistency. Expert review further ensured content validity.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

i. Socio-Economic Consequences

- 68% of respondents reported financial hardship, with an average monthly expenditure on drugs at \$350.
- 47% reported job loss within one year of habitual use.

Indicator	Percentage (%)	Mean Value
Financial Hardship	68	\$350/month
Job Loss	47	—
Family Separation	33	—

Table 1: Socio-economic Impacts of Drug Use



Figure 1: Graph of Percentage Indicators Related to Consequences.

ii. Psychological and Physiological Effects

- 73% reported mental health deterioration. GHQ-28 average score was 25.7, above the normal threshold (22).
- 41% showed signs of liver dysfunction, validated through hospital records.

Indicator Affected (9() Mean Second Views			
Indicator	Affected (%)	Mean Score/value	
Mental Health Decline (GHQ)	73	25.7	
Liver Dysfunction	41	—	
Sleep Disorder	55	—	

Table 2: Psychological and Physiological Health Impacts





©GAS Journal of Clinical Medicine and Medical Research (GASJCMMR) Published by GAS Publishers

iii. Demographic Predictors of Consequences

Regression analysis revealed that age (p<0.01), duration of use (p<0.001), and employment status (p<0.05) significantly predict severity of consequences.

Variable	p-value	Interpretation
Age	< 0.01	Significant
Duration of Use	< 0.001	Highly significant
Employment Status	< 0.05	Moderately significant

Table 3: Regression Analysis of Demographic Predictors



Figure 3: Graph of P-values of Variables in Regression Analysis

The findings of this study affirm and extend existing literature on the consequences of drug addiction. The significant socioeconomic burdens—including financial hardship and job loss reflect the destabilizing effect addiction has on economic productivity. These results echo previous research by Taylor (2017) and Martinez (2019), emphasizing the role of addiction in compromising financial independence and reducing workforce engagement. Psychological effects, particularly the high prevalence of mental health decline (73% with GHO-28 scores above threshold), align with Brown and Schuckit's (2016) observations on substance-induced mental disorders. The mental health burden was further compounded by high levels of sleep disorders and emotional instability reported by participants, indicating a need for integrated mental health services in addiction recovery programs. Physiological consequences were also evident, with liver dysfunction affecting 41% of users—supporting findings by Johnson (2020) regarding the hepatic effects of chronic drug exposure. These physical outcomes suggest that addiction treatment should include regular medical screenings and access to primary uncoveredhealthcare. The demographic predictors

specifically age and duration of drug use-are critical for tailoring interventions. Younger individuals and those with prolonged use are at heightened risk, suggesting that early intervention programs targeting youth may significantly mitigate long-term harm. The role of employment as a mitigating factor is also noteworthy; employed individuals exhibited fewer adverse outcomes, which implies that vocational training and job placement services could serve as preventative tools. Moreover, the high prevalence of family separation and social isolation reflects the communal disruption caused by addiction, as highlighted in the works of Williams (2018) and Lopez (2020). These social consequences indicate the importance of community reintegration programs and family-centered therapy. Altogether, these findings underscore the multifaceted nature of addiction, reinforcing the necessity for holistic strategies that combine healthcare, psychological support, economic empowerment, and social rehabilitation. Stakeholders must consider these empirical insights in policymaking, public education, and the design of community-based rehabilitation frameworks.

©GAS Journal of Clinical Medicine and Medical Research (GASJCMMR) Published by GAS Publishers

CONCLUSION

This study provides empirical evidence of the multifaceted consequences of drug use and addiction. Financial strain, health deterioration, and social disintegration are prevalent among users. These outcomes underline the need for integrated intervention strategies combining healthcare, economic support, and educational outreach. Future research should include longitudinal studies and experimental designs to explore causality and intervention effectiveness. Stakeholders must adopt a holistic policy framework to combat the growing menace of drug addiction.

REFERENCES

Anderson, T., & Moore, J. (2017). *Comprehensive strategies for addiction recovery*. New York: Urban Press.

Brown, S., & Schuckit, M. (2016). Psychiatric complications of substance use. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 173(4), 322-330.

Carter, R. (2021). Economic costs of substance abuse on public health systems. *Health Economics Review*, 11(2), 45-60.

Chen, Y., & Lin, P. (2022). Addressing stigma in substance use treatment. *Addiction and Health*, 14(3), 199-209.

Green, B., Hall, W., & Farrell, M. (2015). Early exposure and lifelong consequences. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 57(1), 10-17.

Johnson, L. (2020). The physiological toll of drug addiction. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 9(3), 654.

Kim, D., Singh, A., & Zhou, Y. (2018). Data analytics in public health surveillance. *Global Health Informatics*, 6(1), 101-115.

Lopez, M. (2020). Drug-related crime and societal impact. *Journal of Criminal Justice*, 48(2), 134-145.

Martinez, R. (2019). Socioeconomic predictors of drug use. *Social Science Quarterly*, 100(4), 1024-1036.

Nguyen, A., & Parker, D. (2020). Policy implications of addiction research. *Health Policy and Planning*, 35(5), 562-570.

O'Donnell, M. (2021). Integrated approaches to drug rehabilitation. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 92, 103150.

Peterson, J. (2019). Holistic assessment frameworks for addiction studies. *Substance Use & Misuse*, 54(12), 1983-1994.

Smith, A. (2019). Global trends in drug use. *World Health Reports*, 34(6), 223-240.

Taylor, K. (2017). Addiction and workplace productivity. *Journal of Labor Economics*, 35(3), 597-618.

UNODC. (2021). *World drug report 2021*. Vienna: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime.

Williams, H. (2018). Children of addicted parents. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 59(2), 103-112.