

Exploring Technology Based Strategies in Teaching Learners with Learning Disabilities in an Inclusive Classroom

Nwachukwu, K.E; Icha, P.I; Thompson, D.N; Uka, E.E; Usuh, N.I; Bassey, M.C; Sampson, G.S; Udoma, E.P; & Mbono, V.I

Department Of Earlychildhood and Sepecial Education Faculty of Education University of Uyo Uyo Nigeria

Received: 25.09.2025 / Accepted: 02.10.2025 / Published: 04.10.2025

*Corresponding Author: Nwachukwu, K.E

DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.17267382](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17267382)

Abstract

Case Studies

Technology has emerged as a transformative force in modern education, reshaping the ways teachers deliver instruction and learners engage with knowledge. For students with learning disabilities (LDs), the impact of technology is even more pronounced, as it provides accessible, flexible, and individualized learning opportunities that traditional instructional strategies often fail to offer. This paper explores technology-based strategies for teaching learners with learning disabilities, situating them within the broader context of inclusive education and Universal Design for Learning (UDL). Drawing on recent literature, the paper examines assistive technologies, multimedia learning, adaptive software, mobile applications, and collaborative platforms as tools to support learners' academic, cognitive, and socio-emotional development. The discussion highlights the benefits of these tools in promoting accessibility, engagement, motivation, and independence, while also addressing challenges such as teacher preparedness, digital inequality, and ethical concerns. Ultimately, the paper concludes that the effective integration of technology into teaching requires intentional planning, continuous professional development, and systemic policy support. By leveraging evidence-based practices, educators can create inclusive, equitable, and empowering learning environments for students with learning disabilities.

Keywords: Technology Based Strategies, Learning Disabilities, Inclusive Education.

Copyright © Nwachukwu, K. E., Icha, P. I., Thompson, D. N., Uka, E. E., Usuh, N. I., Bassey, M. C., Sampson, G. S., Udoma, E. P., & Mbono, V. I. (2025). Exploring technology-based strategies in teaching learners with learning disabilities in an inclusive classroom. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0).

INTRODUCTION

Learners with learning disabilities (LDs) constitute a unique group within the educational system who, despite having average or above-average intelligence, face persistent difficulties in acquiring specific academic skills such as eading, writing, and mathematics (Hallahan, Kauffman, & Pullen, 2019). These challenges often arise from deficits in information processing, memory, or language, and may persist across the lifespan if not adequately addressed. Traditional classroom methods, although effective for the majority of students, often fail to accommodate the needs of learners with LDs, thereby widening the achievement gap and limiting opportunities for academic success and social participation (Lerner & Johns, 2015).

The emergence of technology in education has introduced new opportunities to bridge this gap. In the 21st-century classroom, technology is no longer a supplementary tool but a vital component of teaching and learning. For learners with LDs, technological tools provide personalized pathways, reduce

cognitive barriers, and foster multisensory engagement that aligns with their unique learning profiles (Ok, Kim, & Rao, 2020). Assistive technologies such as text-to-speech software, speech recognition tools, and graphic organizers allow students to access and produce knowledge in ways that compensate for their learning difficulties (Al-Azawei, Serenelli, & Lundqvist, 2016). Similarly, adaptive learning platforms and mobile applications provide real-time feedback and individualized instruction, empowering students to progress at their own pace.

However, the promise of technology cannot be realized without deliberate implementation strategies. Challenges such as inadequate teacher training, lack of access to resources, and resistance to change continue to hinder its effective use in classrooms (Edyburn, 2021). Moreover, ethical concerns surrounding data privacy and the over-reliance on digital solutions highlight the need for balanced approaches. Therefore, understanding technology-based strategies for learners with learning disabilities requires not only an exploration of tools but also of frameworks that support their use.

The discussion of technology-based strategies for teaching learners with Learning Disabilities is anchored in two major theoretical perspectives: Universal Design for Learning (UDL) and Assistive Technology (AT) theory. Universal Design for Learning (UDL)

Universal Design for Learning is an educational framework designed to ensure that all learners, regardless of ability, can access and engage with the curriculum. Developed by CAST (Center for Applied Special Technology), UDL emphasizes three core principles: providing multiple means of representation, multiple means of action and expression, and multiple means of engagement (Meyer, Rose, & Gordon, 2014). Technology serves as a critical enabler of UDL by allowing content to be delivered in varied formats, assessments to be adapted to student strengths, and learning environments to be more interactive and inclusive. For learners with LDs, UDL-guided technology interventions ensure that barriers are minimized while opportunities for active participation are maximized..

Assistive Technology (AT) Theory. Assistive Technology theory underscores the role of specialized tools in compensating for functional limitations of learners with disabilities. According to the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA, 2004), assistive technology includes “any item, piece of equipment, or product system... that is used to increase, maintain, or improve the functional capabilities of individuals with disabilities.” For learners with learning disabilities, this may include text-to-speech devices, digital graphic organizers, or adaptive software that directly supports literacy, numeracy, and executive functioning (Edyburn, 2021).

By combining Universal Design for Learning with Assistive Technology theory, educators can integrate both universal supports (technology accessible to all learners) and specialized supports (technology tailored to specific deficits). This dual approach ensures that technology not only addresses individual learning needs but also promotes inclusivity at the classroom and institutional levels. Learners With learning disabilities often show strengths such as creativity, problem-solving abilities, and resilience. Many possess strong verbal reasoning, visual-spatial skills, or talents in areas such as art, music, or technology use. Recognizing these strengths is essential for designing technological based instructional strategies that are supportive, empowering, and inclusive (Nwachukwu, Okoro, & Uzu, (2020), Rose & Meyer 2022)

Technology-Based Strategies for Teaching Learners with Learning Disabilities

Technology has evolved into one of the most effective tools for addressing the diverse learning needs of students with learning disabilities (LDs). Rather than being a one-size-fits-all solution, technology offers a wide range of customizable tools that align with individual learner profiles. In this section, six major categories of technology-based strategies are explored: assistive technologies, multimedia and interactive learning, adaptive learning software, mobile applications and gamification, collaborative and communication tools, and

emerging innovations such as artificial intelligence (AI).

1. Assistive Technologies: Assistive technologies are designed specifically to bypass or compensate for a learner’s disability, enabling them to access curriculum and demonstrate knowledge. These tools do not “cure” learning disabilities but provide alternative pathways to success. Examples: Text-to-Speech (TTS) software such as Kurzweil 3000, Natural Reader, and Read&Write help learners with dyslexia or reading fluency issues by converting written text into audio. Research shows that TTS improves reading comprehension and reduces cognitive load (Wood, Moxley, Tighe, & Wagner, 2018). Speech-to-Text (STT) applications like Dragon NaturallySpeaking support learners with dysgraphia or spelling difficulties by allowing them to dictate assignments, enabling them to express ideas without being hindered by handwriting challenges.

Word prediction software, such as Co:Writer, provides learners with real-time spelling and grammar suggestions, enhancing written fluency.

Screen readers (e.g., JAWS and NVDA) allow visually impaired learners with LDs to navigate digital text, while alternative keyboards (e.g., IntelliKeys) help those with motor skill difficulties.

2. Multimedia and Interactive Learning: Multimedia resources address diverse learning preferences by combining text, audio, images, video, and animation. For learners with LDs who struggle with traditional print-based instruction, multimedia provides alternative avenues to process and retain information. (Nwachukwu, Igbokwe, & Nwachukwu, 2021). Examples: Interactive whiteboards like SMART Boards allow teachers to present lessons using dynamic visuals and touch-enabled interaction. Digital storytelling platforms (e.g., Book Creator, Storybird) encourage learners to build narratives with visuals, sound, and text.

Educational videos and animations on platforms such as Khan Academy and YouTube EDU simplify complex concepts into manageable steps.

3. Adaptive Learning Software: Adaptive software uses algorithms to personalize instruction, ensuring that each learner progresses at their own pace. For students with LDs, such platforms provide targeted practice and immediate feedback, reducing frustration and promoting mastery.

Examples: Dream Box Learning (math) adjusts problem difficulty based on student responses.

Lexia Core5 Reading provides individualized literacy support with built-in scaffolding.

Carnegie Learning combines AI-driven instruction with teacher-led interventions.

4. Mobile Applications and Gamification: Mobile applications make learning portable, flexible, and accessible beyond the classroom. Gamification: using game-like elements in learning has emerged as a particularly effective strategy for learners with LDs, as it increases motivation and reduces performance anxiety. Examples: Duolingo uses gamified

exercises for language learning, rewarding progress with points and streaks. Mathletics and Prodigy embed math instruction in adventure-based games. Voice Dream Reader allows learners to listen to digital texts on smartphones.

Case Study: A study in India showed that gamified math apps improved retention rates among learners with dyscalculia by 35% compared to traditional drill-based practice (Sharma & Gupta, 2022).

5. Collaborative and Communication Tools: Collaboration enhances social learning and reduces isolation among learners with LDs. Digital communication tools enable students to connect with peers, teachers, and parents in supportive learning networks. Examples: Learning Management Systems (LMS) such as Google Classroom and Microsoft Teams allow assignment sharing, real-time feedback, and inclusive communication. Discussion forums and wikis foster peer-to-peer interaction.

Augmentative and Alternative Communication (AAC) devices enable non-verbal students to engage in collaborative tasks.

6. Emerging Technologies: Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Virtual Reality (VR)

Recent innovations offer exciting possibilities for inclusive education.

Artificial Intelligence (AI): AI tutors like Carnegie Learning's MATHia analyze learner performance and suggest targeted interventions. **Virtual Reality (VR):** VR platforms such as zSpace allow learners with LDs to interact with immersive simulations, improving comprehension of complex concepts. **Augmented Reality (AR):** AR apps overlay digital content onto real-world objects, supporting multisensory learning.

7. Learning Management Systems (LMS) such as: Google Classroom, Microsoft Teams, and Moodle allow educators to post materials, give structured assignments, and provide individualized feedback. Learners with LDs benefit from consistent routines and digital reminders that reduce organizational challenges.

8. Peer Collaboration: Tools like Padlet, Jamboard, and discussion forums encourage peer learning and cooperative projects, fostering communication and teamwork skills.

9. Parent Engagement: Communication platforms enable parents to monitor progress and provide additional support at home, which is critical for students with LDs who require consistent reinforcement. Such tools not only improve academic outcomes but also enhance self-advocacy and social participation by empowering learners with learning disabilities to communicate more effectively (Al-Azawei, Serenelli, & Lundqvist, 2016).

Implications for Educators and Policymakers

The integration of technology in teaching learners with learning disabilities (LDs) is not merely a pedagogical shift but also a policy and systemic concern. Effective adoption requires coordinated efforts from teachers, school leaders, parents, and government agencies. The following implications highlight the

roles educators and policymakers must play to ensure that technology enhances learning outcomes for students with learning disabilities.

1. Professional Development and Capacity Building: Educators are central to the successful use of technology. Without adequate training, the potential benefits of assistive tools remain underutilized.

Implication for educators: Teachers must engage in ongoing professional development to build competence in using digital tools, assistive software, and inclusive instructional design.

Implication for policymakers: Governments and education ministries should integrate technology training into teacher education programs and provide in-service training workshops. For example in the U.S., the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) mandates that special educators receive training on assistive technologies, improving classroom integration (Edyburn, 2021).

2. Inclusive Curriculum Design: The curriculum must evolve to incorporate technology in ways that support diverse learners. This includes embedding multimodal resources, digital assessments, and culturally responsive content. In Canada, adopting UDL principles in digital textbooks ensured learners with dyslexia and ADHD could access the same curriculum as peers (Reed & Bowles, 2020).

3. Bridging the Digital Divide: Addressing inequities in access is crucial for inclusive education. Many learners with LDs in low-resource settings are left behind due to lack of devices or internet connectivity. Evidence: During the COVID-19 pandemic, UNESCO (2021) reported that countries that invested in device distribution programs saw higher participation rates among students with disabilities.

4. Data Privacy and Ethical Standards: As more student information is stored digitally, safeguarding learners' privacy is critical. Children with LDs represent a vulnerable population whose data must be protected. For Example: The European Union's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) set a strong precedent for how student data must be collected, stored, and used ethically.

5. Multi-Stakeholder Collaboration: Technology integration requires collaboration between teachers, parents, technologists, and policymakers. Without such partnerships, efforts remain fragmented. In Nigeria, collaboration between local NGOs and tech firms led to the development of a Yoruba-language reading app for children with dyslexia, bridging linguistic gaps (Adebayo, 2021).

6. Sustainable Funding and Resource Allocation: Sporadic or short-term funding undermines the continuity of technology integration. Sustainable financial planning is essential. Reed and Bowles (2020) noted that schools with dedicated budgets for assistive technology reported higher adoption rates and long-term success.

7. Research and Evidence-Based Policymaking: The field requires more rigorous, context-sensitive research to guide effective policy. Too often, decisions are based on imported

models that fail to align with local realities. In South Africa, government-funded research into digital inclusion informed national strategies that improved assistive technology adoption across public schools (Mokoena & Mokoena, 2020).

8. Balancing Technology with Human Interaction: While technology supports accessibility, human connection remains vital. Over-reliance risks dehumanizing education and neglecting socio-emotional needs. Edyburn (2021) emphasizes that blended learning approaches and combining technology with teacher support are most effective for learners with learning disabilities.

CONCLUSION

The integration of technology into teaching learners with learning disabilities (LDs) represents one of the most significant advances in inclusive education. Technology has the potential to transform the educational experiences of learners with LDs by enhancing accessibility, personalization, engagement, and independence. From assistive technologies such as text-to-speech and speech-to-text software, to adaptive learning platforms and gamified applications, these tools offer new ways to bridge gaps that traditional pedagogies often struggle to address. However, the promise of technology is tempered by challenges. High costs, inequitable access, inadequate teacher training, cultural resistance, and ethical concerns such as data privacy continue to limit effective adoption, particularly in low-resource contexts. Moreover, the risk of over-reliance on digital tools underscores the need for balance between technological innovation and human-centered teaching practices.

The implications for educators and policymakers are clear: successful integration of technology requires systemic planning, sustainable investment, and a commitment to equity. Teachers must be trained and supported to use assistive tools effectively. Policymakers must prioritize infrastructure, equitable funding, and localized solutions to ensure technology serves diverse learners. Researchers, meanwhile, must continue to generate context-sensitive evidence that informs practice and policy.

Looking ahead, the role of technology in inclusive education should not be framed as a question of “if” but “how.” The goal is not to replace traditional teaching but to enrich and extend it, ensuring that learners with learning disabilities are not left behind in a rapidly digitalizing world. By embracing technology thoughtfully and anchored in universal design for learning, cultural responsiveness, and strong ethical safeguards educators and policymakers can create inclusive learning environments where all students, regardless of ability, have the opportunity to thrive. Ultimately, technology is not a panacea, but when strategically implemented, it becomes a powerful tool for equity, empowerment, and transformation in the education of learners with learning disabilities.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the review, the following recommendations are proposed to enhance the effectiveness of technology-based strategies in teaching learners with learning disabilities (LDs).

1. Educators should adopt Universal Design for Learning (UDL): Teachers should design lessons that provide multiple means of representation, engagement, and expression, ensuring all learners, especially those with learning disabilities can access content.

2. School Administrators should create Assistive Technology Resource Centers: Schools should establish resource hubs with shared assistive devices (screen readers, speech-to-text software, and adapted keyboards) accessible to all learners with LDs.

3. Policymakers provide equitable Funding Mechanisms: Governments should allocate dedicated budgets for assistive technology and ensure equitable distribution across rural and urban schools.

4. Researchers should expand Longitudinal Studies: Conduct long-term studies to evaluate the sustained impact of technology on learners with LDs across contexts.

REFERENCES

- Al-Azawei, A., Serenelli, F., & Lundqvist, K. (2017). Universal Design for Learning (UDL): A content analysis of peer-reviewed journal papers from 2012 to 2015. *Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 17(3), 53–74. <https://doi.org/10.14434/josotl.v17i3.22056>
- Alnahdi, G. H. (2020). Assistive technology in special education and the universal design for learning. *The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 19(1), 20–29.
- Alqahtani, M. (2019). The effectiveness of multimedia learning in improving reading comprehension for learners with dyslexia. *Journal of Educational Multimedia and Hypermedia*, 28(4), 361–378.
- Adebayo, T. (2021). Assistive technology and inclusive education in West Africa: Challenges and prospects. *African Journal of Special Education*, 7(2), 45–59. <https://doi.org/10.21013/ajse.v7.n2.4>
- Cullen, J. P., Kettler, T., & Im, S. (2019). The impact of assistive technology on self-efficacy and academic achievement of students with learning disabilities. *Journal of Special Education Technology*, 34(3), 145–158. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0162643418800983>
- Edyburn, D. L. (2021). Rethinking assistive technology in special education: Toward a technology-enhanced inclusive learning environment. *Journal of Special Education Leadership*, 34(1), 5–18.
- Fletcher, J. M., Lyon, G. R., Fuchs, L. S., & Barnes, M. A. (2019). *Learning disabilities: From identification to intervention* (2nd ed.). The Guilford Press.
- Hall, T. E., Cohen, N., & Vue, G. (2018). Universal Design for Learning and technology applications for students with learning disabilities. *Learning Disability Quarterly*, 41(2), 87–99. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0731948717749936>
- Heiman, T., & Shemesh, D. O. (2019). Digital tools and academic support for students with learning disabilities in

higher education. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 52(6), 528–537. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022219419861044>

Mokoena, T., & Mokoena, M. (2020). Gamification in reading instruction for learners with ADHD: Evidence from South African classrooms. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 24(9), 1012–1027. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2019.1603327>

Mutisya, D. (2019). Cultural attitudes toward disability and technology adoption in rural Kenya. *Journal of African Education Research*, 15(1), 55–72.

Nwachukwu, K.E, Okoro, U.N & Uzu, S.N (2020). Multi-Sensory intervention strategy for teaching

dyslexics in public primary schools in Obio Akpor in Rivers East District of Rivers State. *IOSR Journal of Research and Methods in Education (IOSR-JRME)* 10(5),2: 01-10.

Nwachukwu, K.E. and Igbokwe, C.O. & Nwachukwu, I. C.(2021). Influence of family

Background on academic performance of learners with learning disability in Uyo local

Government Area, Akwa Ibom State. *Scientific Research Journal of Education and*

Literature. 1(4)7-14

Ogunyemi, B. (2021). Remote learning and learners with disabilities during COVID-19 in Nigeria: Lessons and implications. *Nigerian Journal of Educational Technology*,

14(2), 73–89.

Ok, M. W., & Rao, K. (2019). Digital tools for supporting students with learning disabilities. *Intervention in School and Clinic*, 54(3), 145–153. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1053451218767919>

Reed, M., & Bowles, T. (2020). Longitudinal impacts of digital tools on literacy development of learners with learning disabilities. *Canadian Journal of Special Education*, 36(2), 112–130.

Rose, D. H., & Meyer, A. (2022). *Universal Design for Learning: Theory and practice* (2nd ed.). CAST Publishing.

Sharma, R., & Singh, P. (2020). Technology and inclusive education in India: Post-COVID challenges and opportunities. *Asian Journal of Inclusive Education*, 8(1), 25–42.

UNESCO. (2020). *Global education monitoring report: Inclusion and education – All means all*. UNESCO Publishing. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000373718>

UNESCO. (2021). *The digital transformation of education: Global report on assistive technology in education*. UNESCO Publishing. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000386597>

Williams, J. (2021). Enhancing parental engagement in inclusive digital classrooms: Lessons from the U.K. *British Journal of Special Education*, 48(3), 344–359. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8578.12367>