Global Academic and Scientific Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies (GASJMS)

Volume 3 | Issue 12, 2025 Homepage: https://gaspublishers.com/gasjms/ ISSN: 2583-8970

Allocative Efficiency of Tamarind Processing Enterprises in Northern Nigeria: Evidence from a Profit Frontier Approach

Ernest Osarenren Idahosa[1], Ewekhare B.T.[2], Ogwu. A. D[3], Ogbagiri M.O.[4] & Emokhare Q.I[5]

[1]Research Outreach Department, Rubber Research Institute of Nigeria
[2]Department of Agricultural Economics; Benson Idahosa University
[3]IDH Sustainable Trade Initiative
[4]Department of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development; University of Benin
[5]College of Agriculture Iguoriakhi

Received: *30.10.2025* | ***Accepted:*** *23.11.2025* | ***Published:*** *12.12.2025*

***Corresponding Author:** Ernest Osarenren Idahosa

DOI: *10.5281/zenodo.17913815*

Abstract **Case Studies**

This study assesses the allocative efficiency of tamarind processors in selected North-Central and North-Western states of Nigeria, using a stochastic frontier profit function. Data were collected from 304 processors through a multistage sampling method and analyzed using Maximum Likelihood Estimation techniques. The profit function incorporated input prices such as costs of raw materials, family and hired labour, equipment use, utilities, transportation, and packaging. The results reveal that most processors were not allocating resources efficiently, as indicated by the statistically significant inefficiency effects. Key factors contributing to allocative inefficiency include source of tamarind, age, and business experience, while education level and household size reduced inefficiency. The estimated gamma (γ) of 0.5526 indicates that over half of the variation in profit was due to inefficiencies in resource allocation rather than random shocks. These findings suggest a need for improved managerial training, better market linkages, and policy interventions that support price awareness and optimal resource mix. Enhancing allocative efficiency in tamarind processing has the potential to boost profitability and sustainability in the value chain, particularly for small-scale processors in rural areas.

Keywords: Allocative Efficiency, Profit Frontier, Tamarind Processing, Input Allocation, Nigeria.

Introduction

Efficient resource allocation is fundamental to the performance and sustainability of agro-processing enterprises, particularly in developing economies where resource scarcity is prevalent. Allocative efficiency refers to the ability of producers to use inputs in optimal proportions, given their respective prices, to either minimize cost or maximize profit. In the context of small-scale agro-enterprises, achieving allocative efficiency is crucial not only for enhancing profitability but also for ensuring resilience in the face of fluctuating input costs and output prices (Coelli et al., 2005).

In Nigeria, tamarind (*Tamarindus indica*) plays an increasingly important role in rural economic development, serving as a source of food, medicine, and income for many households. Despite its potential, the tamarind processing sector remains largely informal and undercapitalized, with producers often relying on traditional methods, limited market information, and suboptimal input combinations. These conditions are likely to hinder allocative efficiency, resulting in higher production costs and reduced profitability (Ogunleye et al., 2023).

While several studies have examined technical efficiency in crop production and processing in Nigeria (Ajibefun & Daramola, 2003; Ogundari & Ojo, 2007), few have focused on allocative efficiency in the tamarind value chain. Moreover, understanding the determinants of inefficient input allocation—such as socio-economic characteristics, education, and market access—is critical to formulating effective policy responses.

This study, therefore, investigates the allocative efficiency of tamarind processing enterprises in Kano, Kaduna, and Nasarawa states. Using a stochastic profit frontier approach, it estimates the extent of input misallocation and examines the socio-economic factors influencing inefficiency. The findings will contribute to the literature on value-chain efficiency and inform evidence-based interventions for improved productivity in Nigeria's tamarind sector.

Literature Review

Allocative efficiency, distinct from technical efficiency, occurs when firms use inputs in proportions that minimize cost for a given output level or maximize output for a given cost level (Coelli et al., 2005). In agricultural and agro-processing enterprises, this concept has been instrumental in evaluating not only how much is produced, but how economically inputs are used given prevailing market prices.

Several studies in Nigeria and beyond have employed stochastic frontier analysis (SFA) or data envelopment analysis (DEA) to examine allocative efficiency in both production and processing. For instance, Ogundari and Ojo (2007) assessed technical, allocative, and economic efficiency among cassava farmers in Osun State, revealing that allocative inefficiency was more prominent than technical inefficiency due to mispricing and limited market access. Similarly, Idiong (2007) found that rice farmers in Cross River State were technically efficient but performed poorly in terms of allocative efficiency, highlighting the need for price education and better market information.

In agro-processing, Yusuf and Malomo (2007) used DEA to analyze efficiency in poultry egg production and found that both technical and allocative inefficiencies contributed to suboptimal profitability. However, few studies have extended this focus to minor but economically relevant crops such as tamarind, despite its increasing importance in local livelihoods and commerce.

The tamarind processing subsector is typically dominated by informal micro-enterprises with limited financial and managerial capacity (Ogunleye et al., 2023). These processors often lack access to formal training, credit, and market intelligence, which hinders their ability to align input use with cost efficiency principles. According to Bravo-Ureta and Pinheiro (1997), allocative efficiency is particularly sensitive to education, access to information, and institutional support, all of which are deficient in many rural Nigerian settings.

While recent studies have explored the value chain and market structure of tamarind (Adedayo et al., 2010; Ogunleye et al., 2023), there is still a significant gap in empirical evidence on how tamarind processors allocate their inputs relative to prices. This study addresses this gap by examining the allocative efficiency of processors and identifying socio-economic and operational factors that promote or hinder cost-effective input use.

Methodology

3.1 Study Area

The study was carried out in Kano, Kaduna, and Nasarawa States, located in the North-Western and North-Central regions of Nigeria. These states are known for their significant involvement in tamarind harvesting, processing, and marketing, driven by both domestic and commercial demand for tamarind pulp and value-added products.

3.2 Sampling Technique and Sample Size

A multistage purposive sampling technique was adopted. First, the three states were selected due to their prominence in tamarind production. In the second stage, local government areas (LGAs) and communities with active tamarind processing clusters were purposively identified. Finally, a proportionate percentage sampling method was used to select a total of 304 tamarind processors, ensuring a fair representation across the study locations based on the population of processors.

3.3 Data Collection

Primary data were collected through structured questionnaires and interviews. The data covered processor demographics, input costs, output prices, sourcing channels, and other relevant enterprise characteristics. Information on variable input costs was carefully recorded to estimate cost efficiency and identify price-related inefficiencies.

3.4 Analytical Technique

The study utilized the Stochastic Frontier Profit Function (based on the Cobb-Douglas functional form) to estimate allocative efficiency among tamarind processors. The model assumes that deviations from the optimal (maximum) profit frontier are caused by inefficiency in input use and not just random factors.

The functional form is specified as:

The functional form is specified as:

Profit = Gross Margin from Tamarind Processing

Profit Model:

$Pi = B_0 + B_1X_1 + B_2X_2 + B_nX_n + E$

Where:

P_I= profit of the i-th processor

B_0= intercept

- B_n= coefficient measuring the effect of each factor
- E = random error
- X_1 = cost of raw materials
- X_2 = cost of family labour
- X_3 = cost of hired labour
- X_4 =cost of transportation
- X_5 = cost of package
- X_6 = cost of utility (water, electricity, fuel)
- X_7 = equipment use
- X_8 = Business experience
- X_9 = Gender

The one-sided error term (u_i) captures allocative inefficiency — that is, the extent to which the observed processor deviates from the profit-maximizing combination of inputs, given their prices.

The model was estimated using Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE) in STATA 15.0.

Variables Specification

The dependent variable is profit (₦), representing total revenue minus total cost. The explanatory variables include:

male; 0 = female)

Table 1

Variable Description	Unit of measurement
Cost of raw materials (Cost of tamarind pods/pulp)	(₦)
Cost of hired labour (Payment for non-household labour)	(₦)
Cost of family labour Imputed value of family labour.	(₦)
Equipment use (Value of equipment used)	(₦)

Cost of packaging (Cost of packaging materials)	(₦)
Cost of transportation Logistics cost.	(₦)
Cost of utility Expenditure on fuel, water, electricity.	(₦)
Business experience Years of experience in tamarind processing	Years. (
Gender	Dummy variable (1 = male; 0 = female)

The inefficiency model includes socio-economic variables such as age, education level, household size, source of tamarind, and business experience, which are hypothesized to influence allocative behaviour.

Results and Discussion

6.1 Stochastic Profit Frontier Estimates

The stochastic profit frontier model estimated the relationship between profit and various input costs. Results showed that equipment use and family labour significantly and positively influenced profit levels at the 1% level. This suggests that investments in processing tools and reliance on household labour contribute to better profitability outcomes. Conversely, the cost of rent had a significant negative effect, implying that processors who pay for rented space or facilities face higher operational costs, which diminish their profit potential.

Inputs such as raw materials, hired labour, and transportation did not show significant effects on profit, indicating inefficiencies in how these inputs are priced or applied. These inefficiencies could stem from market fluctuations, weak bargaining power, or non-optimal combinations of input use.

The inefficiency model indicated that age, business experience, and source of tamarind increased allocative inefficiency, while education and household size reduced it. This implies that more educated processors with access to household labour are better positioned to allocate resources cost-effectively, while older and more experienced processors may stick to traditional, less efficient practices.

6.2 Efficiency Distribution of Processors

The allocative efficiency distribution of processors is presented in Table 2. The results show that a substantial proportion (74.31%) of processors operated at very high allocative efficiency levels (>0.90), with a mean allocative efficiency score of 0.8794. This suggests that, on average, tamarind processors are using their inputs in a way that is close to cost-optimal, given prevailing input prices.

However, 11.93% of processors fell below an efficiency score of 0.60, indicating severe misallocation of resources. A further 13.26% operated between 0.61 and 0.90, showing moderate inefficiency. The minimum efficiency score was 0.02, while the maximum was 1.00, confirming a wide disparity in allocative performance among processors.

Table 3: Efficiency Distribution of the Processors

Efficiency of processors	frequency	Percentage
≤ 0.60	26	11.93
0.61- 0.70	1	0.46
0.71 – 0.80	1	0.46
0.81-0.90	28	12.84
>0.90	162	74.31
Total	218	100.00
Mean	0.8794	
Minimum	0.02	
Maximum	1.00	

Source: Field Survey, 2025

Interpretation and Implications

While the high average efficiency score is encouraging, the existence of processors with very low scores signals the need for targeted interventions. The findings imply that not all processors have equal access to input markets, price information, or business support services, which likely contributes to allocative inefficiencies.

Furthermore, the significant role of education and household size supports the case for enhancing human capital and encouraging family-based enterprise models.

The significant gamma value ($\gamma = 0.5526$) reinforces the conclusion that more than half of the variation in profit is due to allocative inefficiency rather than random shocks — highlighting the need for improved decision-making in resource allocation.

Conclusion and Recommendations

Conclusion

This study examined the allocative efficiency of tamarind processing enterprises in selected states of North-Central and North-Western Nigeria using a stochastic frontier profit function. The results indicate that while the average allocative efficiency was relatively high at 0.8794, there exists a notable group of processors operating far below optimal levels. A significant portion of profit variation ($\gamma = 0.5526$) was explained by allocative inefficiency, emphasizing the importance of how processors combine and apply inputs in relation to their costs.

Key inputs such as equipment use and family labour were found to significantly enhance profit levels, while rent was a major cost burden. The inefficiency model revealed that age, business experience, and source of tamarind increased inefficiency, while education level and household size improved allocative decisions. These results suggest that knowledge, access to reliable input sources, and intra-household labour significantly influence whether processors achieve profit-maximizing input combinations.

Despite a high proportion of processors operating near full efficiency (>0.90), disparities in input allocation persist and must be addressed through targeted interventions.

Recommendations

- **Market Linkages and Input Price Awareness:**

Efforts should be made to connect processors with input suppliers, cooperatives, and markets to ensure timely access to tamarind and better negotiation of input prices. Input price fluctuations should be addressed through improved information systems and cooperative buying schemes.

- **Training in Cost Management and Enterprise Planning:**

Business and financial management training should be incorporated into tamarind enterprise support programs. These should focus on input-cost analysis, break-even assessment, and efficient input mix planning.

- **Support for Local Input Sourcing and Infrastructure:**

Since reliance on external or unreliable sources of tamarind reduces efficiency, local sourcing strategies should be promoted. This could include farmer-processor partnerships and contract sourcing mechanisms to reduce transaction costs.

- **Educational and Extension Services:**

The positive impact of education suggests that promoting adult education, business literacy, and regular extension visits can enhance allocative decision-making and profitability.

- **Promotion of Family-Based Processing Models:**

Family labour reduces costs and improves flexibility. Policies that strengthen household-based enterprise models — especially among women-led processors — should be encouraged.

- **Policy Support for Access to Credit and Equipment:**

Financial support schemes and low-interest microcredit programs could help processors invest in cost-saving equipment and utilities, thereby enhancing input efficiency.

REFERENCES

- Abang, S. O., Ekpeni, E., & Usani, W. W. (2001). *Technical and allocative efficiencies of small-scale cassava growers in Cross River State.*

Global Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences, 7(1), 37–42.

Adedayo, A. G., Akinbode, O. A., & Ojebiyi, W. G. (2010). *Tamarindus indica*: Extent of awareness and use in rural communities of Kwara State, Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental Issues and Agriculture in Developing Countries*, 2(2), 66–73.

Awotona, T. O., & Oladimeji, Y. U. (2023). *Analysis of gender dynamics and allocative efficiency in cassava production among farmers in Oyo State, Nigeria*. Journal of Agricultural Science and Environment.

Adedayo, A. G., Akinbode, O. A., & Ojebiyi, W. G. (2010). Tamarindus indica: Extent of awareness and use in rural communities of Kwara State, Nigeria. Journal of Environmental Issues and Agriculture in Developing Countries, 2(2), 66–73.

Bravo-Ureta, B. E., & Pinheiro, A. E. (1997). Technical, economic, and allocative efficiency in peasant farming: Evidence from the Dominican Republic. *The Developing Economies*, 35(1), 48–67. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1746-1049.1997.tb01186.x

Coelli, T. J., Rao, D. S. P., O'Donnell, C. J., & Battese, G. E. (2005). *An introduction to efficiency and productivity analysis* (2nd ed.). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-24265-1

Esheya, S. E. (2022). *Allocative efficiency of TMS cassava production in Ebonyi State, Nigeria. Nigeria Agricultural Journal, 53(1).*

Bravo-Ureta, B. E., & Pinheiro, A. E. (1997). Technical, economic, and allocative efficiency in peasant farming: Evidence from the Dominican Republic. The Developing Economies, 35(1), 48–67. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1746-1049.1997.tb01186.x

Coelli, T. J., Rao, D. S. P., O'Donnell, C. J., & Battese, G. E. (2005). An introduction to efficiency and productivity analysis (2nd ed.). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-24265-1

Idiong, I. C. (2007). Estimation of farm level technical efficiency in small-scale swamp rice production in Cross River State of Nigeria: A stochastic frontier approach. World Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 3(5), 653–658.

Ogundari, K., & Ojo, S. O. (2007). An examination of technical, economic and allocative efficiency of small farms: The case of cassava farmers in Osun State of Nigeria. Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science, 13(2), 185–195.

Ogunleye, S. O., Adebayo, A. A., & Olalekan, A. B. (2023). Constraints to the development of the tamarind value chain in Nigeria. Journal of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development, 15(1), 21–30. https://doi.org/10.5897/JAERD2022.1345

Yusuf, S. A., & Malomo, O. (2007). Technical efficiency of poultry egg production in Ogun State: A data envelopment analysis (DEA) approach. International Journal of Poultry Science, 6(9), 622–629. https://doi.org/10.3923/ijps.2007.622.629

Giroh, D. Y., & Tashikalma, A. K. (2013). *Evaluation of technical, allocative and economic efficiencies of rubber-cassava based farming system in Edo State, Nigeria*. Global Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 12(2).

Idiong, I. C. (2007). Estimation of farm level technical efficiency in small-scale swamp rice production in Cross River State of Nigeria: A stochastic frontier approach. *World Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 3(5), 653–658.

Nwakpu, C. C. (2024). *Resource productivity and allocative efficiency on improved cassava-based mixed cropping system in Ebonyi State*. Nigeria Agricultural Journal, 55(1).

Nwosu, F. O., & Gbolagun, A. O. (2021). *An analysis of the efficiency of cassava production in Imo State…* Direct Research Journal of Agriculture and Food Science, 9, 282–289.

Ogundari, K., & Ojo, S. O. (2006). *An examination of technical, economic and allocative efficiency of small farms…* Journal of Central European Agriculture, 7(3), 423–432.

Ogundari, K., & Ojo, S. O. (2007). An examination of technical, economic and allocative efficiency of small farms: The case of cassava farmers in Osun State of Nigeria. *Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science*, 13(2), 185–195.

Ogunleye, S. O., Adebayo, A. A., & Olalekan, A. B. (2023). Constraints to the development of the tamarind value chain in Nigeria. *Journal of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development*, 15(1), 21–30. https://doi.org/10.5897/JAERD2022.1345

• Onubuogu, G. C. (2019). *Determinants of allocative (pricing) efficiency of cassava farms in Imo State, Nigeria*. Journal of Agriculture and Food Sciences, 17(2), 90.

Yusuf, S. A., & Malomo, O. (2007). Technical efficiency of poultry egg production in Ogun State: A data envelopment analysis (DEA) approach. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 6(9), 622–629. https://doi.org/10.3923/ijps.2007.622.629